Dear City Council: It is your duty to protect us. Sid Commons development in Parcel 09 will unacceptably increase flood risk and traffic congestion and danger on Graylawn and Payran.

- Development there will burden the City with risk liability of class action flood lawsuit $\$.  
  - Is building there really more important than the safety of the citizens you represent?  
  - Does building there really outweigh the huge flood $$$ risk?

- Development there will increase flooding risk for both Payran neighborhood and Downtown.

- Development there will pave over the large flood-protection area, which has been protected for 38 years and will increase our neighborhood's flood risk.
  - Areas like this are essential in our City’s fight against sea level rise.
  - Adding 180+ residences to this area is a disaster in the making.
  - Neighborhood is land-locked by railroad chain fence on west and river on east.
  - There is only one street out (Payran), which serves thousands more homes beyond our neighborhood. Emergency evacuation was not adequately analyzed in the EIR.
  - 1982 flooding only escape for homes near Jess and Graylawn intersection, including Oak Creek was on foot, through the brush, over the railroad tracks. Luckily, there was no SMART fence.
  - Saying "City has some boats" is not an appropriate emergency evacuation solution or excuse to build on this flood-sensitive land.
  - Future funding for river dredging will be challenging and unlikely, compounding our flood risk.

- Negative environmental impacts of air and noise pollution and traffic congestion off-set the “green” or “sustainable” sales pitch developer is making.
  - Sid Commons is not walkable, bikable or transit-accessible.
  - It’s not green or sustainable to pave over land the land that slows storm water from entering the river, contributing to flooding.
Developer’s promise of a partial-solar and all-electric project is irrelevant because that will soon be a REQUIREMENT for all developments.

- Sid Commons will generate 1,650+ cars/day and increase traffic on Payran to 10,000 per day.
  - Parked cars lining W. Payran obstruct fields of view and make getting onto the street dangerous.
  - Currently very difficult and dangerous to pull out of driveways on Payran.
  - Current critical level of side-swiped cars and other assets (signs, posts, trees, trash bins).

- Development there will remove City’s 1982 protection of Graylawn Ave. residents.
  - More cars will destroy community for seniors who are prone to depression due to isolation.
  - Child playing w/ others in street was struck by car this past year.
  - More traffic endangers children walking to school, play with each other.
  - Children are prone to social disconnection and obesity due to lack of physical activity.

- Noise and air pollution means no open windows and fresh air for inside homes.

- Sid Commons EIR is missing analysis.
  - Sediment buildup in the river not studied in EIR.
  - Impacts on the Army Corps Flood Project not analyzed in EIR.
    - “Terracing” the river here put hydraulic pressure on the concrete weir/dam, which is key in Army Corps Flood Wall, which City paid $100 million for.

- New weather patterns of Atmospheric Rivers not included in EIR hydro model.
  - Sonoma County #1 in Atmospheric Rivers damage in 11 Western States: $5B in damages.

- Impacts combined with Sea Level Rise not studied in EIR.

- Emergency evacuation was not adequately analyzed for Payran in the EIR.

- Development there will remove the City’s 1982 protection of Heritage Oak Trees.
  - Developer only says they will “evaluate” not cutting down.
  - We all saw what happened with Baywood Oak trees: developer cut them down in violation and suffered no/minimal consequences.
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